



Case 2:04-cv-05125-FVS

Douglas E. McKinley, Jr.
<doug@mckinleylaw.com>

10/13/2005 05:54 PM

Document 109-2 Filed 10/14/2005

To Peter Glantz <pglantz@kzfd.com>

cc feivey@3-cities.com

bcc

Subject Re: Rule 56.1 Statement of Facts

Mr. Glantz:

You told me you were going to call me at 12:00 my time. Instead, you called an hour later, when I was otherwise engaged.

My client has instructed me not to allow any further delay of our motion. Therefore, we respectfully decline your offer.

Douglas E. McKinley, Jr.
Attorney at Law
PO Box 202
Richland, Washington 99352
voice (509) 628-0809
fax (509) 628-2307

The information contained in this e-mail message may be privileged, confidential and protected from disclosure under the attorney-client privilege or work product doctrine. If this message contains legal advice, please limit dissemination in order to preserve its privileged and confidential nature. If you are not the intended recipient, please return the message to doug@mckinleylaw.com and remove any copies, together with any attachments, from your system

Peter Glantz wrote

>Doug:
>
> Please be advised that Local Rule 56.1(a) provides, in pertinent part, that "any party filing a motion for summary judgment shall set forth separately from the memorandum of law, and in full, the specific facts relied upon in support of the motion. The specific facts shall be set forth in serial fashion and not in narrative form. (emphasis added).
>
> Plaintiff's Statement of Material Facts (the "Statement") violates Local Rule 56.1(a) because it: (1) was not filed in a serial fashion; (2) contains narrative; and (3) provides inappropriate argument and comments.
>
> Further, Gordon lacks standing to submit the Statement on behalf of the third-party defendants Bonnie Gordon, James S. Gordon, III, Jonathan Gordon, Jamila Gordon, Robert Pritchett and Emily Abbey ("Third-Party Defendants") because: (1) Gordon does not have a personal stake in the outcome of the third-party action; (2) there is no direct relationship between the factual claims asserted against Gordon and those brought against Third-Party Defendants; (3) Gordon cannot bind Third-Party Defendants to his representations, admissions and positions; and (4) the interests of Gordon and Third-Party Defendants may vary.
>
> In view of the foregoing, we respectfully request that you modify the Statement to comply with Local Rule 56.1(a).
>
> In this regard, we suggest that we enter into a stipulation to adjourn the following: (1) the deadline for responding to the Statement; (2) Third-Party Defendants' time to answer; and (3) the oral argument date scheduled on the motion to dismiss the amended counterclaims and Third-Party Amended Complaint.
>
> Should we fail to receive a revised Statement that complies with Local Rule 56.1, we will move to strike the Statement on the grounds that it contains legal conclusions, arguments and narrative.
>

Please advise us accordingly.

> Case 2:04-cv-05125-FVS Document 109-2 Filed 10/14/2005

>Regards,

>

>Peter J. Glantz

>Klein, Zelman, Rothermel & Dichter, L.L.P.

>485 Madison Avenue

>New York, NY 10022-5803

>TEL (212) 935-6020

>FAX (212) 753-8101

>e-mail pglantz@kzrd.com

>www.kzrd.com

>***This email is intended only for the use of the individual(s) named, and
>may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. If you are
>not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure,
>copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the
>contents of this email is strictly prohibited. If you have received
>this email in error, please delete the email and notify the sender if we are
>sending you materials in error.***

>

>

>